

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/580,169 | BINKERT ET AL. | |

| | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D. | 1626 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: 71

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D. (3) _____.

(2) Charles E. Miller {Reg. No. 24,576}. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 April 2008

Time: 3:38pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Laura L. Stockton/
Primary Examiner

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicant's representative for permission to remove the underlining of the "or" under the definition of R(2) in claim 1. The "or" had been previously added as well as the fact that the underlining contradicts the status identifier of "previously presented" in claim 1.

/LLS/
4/24/2008